Low Temperature Thermal Storage as a Rankine Cycle Cooling Solution

Dr. Paul Sikora (EGPT Ltd.)

Dr. Ronan Grimes (University of Limerick)

Dr. Alan O'Donovan (Limerick Institute of Technology)

ACC User's Group Meeting, Querétaro 22/10/19

egpt Gas Expansion Energy



LIMERICK INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY INSTITIÚID TEICNEOLAÍOCHTA LUIMNIGH

Overview

- Motivation for technology
- Concept
- Operating strategies
- Targeted climatic conditions and geographic locations
- Phase I investigation preliminary benchmarking against ACC
- Phase II investigation extended benchmarking against ACC

Motivation

- Due to water restrictions and natural shortages, air is becoming increasingly popular as a cooling medium in thermoelectric power plants
- A-frame Air-Cooled Condenser (ACC) is current industry standard for dry-cooling
- Number of ACC issues:
 - Minimum temperature to which turbine outlet steam can be cooled is the ambient air temperature
 - Thermodynamic efficiency penalty in hot climates
 - Air in leakage
 - Scope for improvement in dry-cooling methodologies to enhance performance of air-cooled plants





LTTS Concept

- Low Temperature Thermal Storage LTTS
- A cooling solution consisting of a water-cooled condenser (WCC), thermal energy storage tank (TES Tank), and an air-cooled heat exchanger (ACHEx)
- Exploits the natural ambient temperature "swings" to condense steam at sub-ambient temperatures



LTTS Operating Strategies



- Charge Mode: water is pumped from the tank to the ACHEx, cooled, and returned to tank for storage. Engaged when ambient temperature is low
- Bypass Mode: water from the WCC is cooled in the ACHEx, before being returned to the WCC. Engaged during periods of moderate temperature
- Discharge Mode: chilled water (which was cooled during the charge mode) is pumped from the tank to the WCC, where it condenses the steam at sub-ambient air temperatures. Engaged when ambient temperature is highest

Places with wide daily temperature swings (DTR)



Representative DTRs



Phase I Investigation

- 8 hours bypass, 8 hours discharge, 8 hour charge (8B/8D/8C)
- Modelling based on a single daily temperature profile in a single location

Used for

- Feasibility study
- Preliminary exploration of design space

LTTS Operating Strategies

- Operational Scenario
 - 24 hr operating cycle is represented by site-specific ambient temperature profile
 - Ambient temperature profile is divided
 - LTTS operating modes are assigned
 - Case study: 8 hrs of charge, 8 hrs of bypass, 8 hrs of discharge



Thermodynamic Modelling

Thermodynamic model developed to calculate plant performance with LTTS installed

1.95

1.9

1.85

1.8

1.75

1.7

1.65

30

P gross (W)

- Relationship between turbine and cooling solution
- Each component is modelled on MATLAB
- Steam Turbine
 - 20MW nominal electrical output
 - Steam inlet temperature of 540°C
- Water-Cooled Condenser
 - Modelled as a standard "shell-and-tube" surface condenser

 $\dot{Q} = \dot{m}_w C p \big(T_{w,o} - T_{w,i} \big)$

$$\dot{Q} = 1 - e^{-NTU} \left[\dot{m}_w C p \left(T_s - T_{w,i} \right) \right]$$



Thermodynamic Modelling

- Air-Cooled Heat Exchanger
 - Cross-flow, multi-row design
 - Bundles of 122 annular-finned round tubes, arranged in a staggered 4 row configuration
 - Area was modelled as a variable (24,000 119,000 m²)

 $T_{w,o} = T_{w,i} - \epsilon \big(T_{w,i} - T_{\infty} \big)$

- Thermal Energy Storage Tank
 - Modelled as a prestressed concrete, thermally insulated, stratified water tank
 - Assumed to be adiabatic, and that no thermal mixing occurs in the tank
 - Sized to ensure that it had sufficient capacity to store the thermal load from the longest discharge period

$$V_{TES} = \frac{\dot{m}_w}{\rho_w} \times t_{DC} = 19,000m^3$$



Benchmarking - ACC Model

- Air-cooled Rankine cycle model run in parallel with LTTS model
- ACC operating period = LTTS bypass period + LTTS discharge period
- Industry standard A-Frame ACC was modelled
 - A = 56,000 m² (6 cell unit)
 - Fans were modelled as single speed (on/off)
 - Fans were regulated in an effort to best maintain a constant steam temperature (set-point)

 $\dot{Q} = 1 - e^{-NTU} [\dot{m}_a Cp(T_s - T_\infty)]$ $T_s = 38^{\circ}$ C when $T_{\infty} < 13^{\circ}$ C $T_s = T_{\infty} + 25^{\circ}$ C when $T_{\infty} > 13^{\circ}$ C

Modelling Procedure

- 1. Ambient temperature profile is divided
- 2. TES tank size is set (based on discharge duration)
- 3. ACHEx heat transfer area (number of modules) is set
- 4. ACHEx fan speed range is set
- 5. Bypass: $T_{w,o}$, T_s , P_{gross} , P_{fan} , and P_{net} are all calculated
- 6. Charge: Average T_w during charge period is calculated.
 - This is equivalent to the tank temperature
- 7. Discharge: T_s and P_{gross} are calculated from tank temperature obtained in Step 6
- 8. ACC model is run in parallel



Phase I 8B/8D/8C Results - Temperature Profiles



Phase I 8B/8D/8C Results - Discharge Power Output LTTS gross output 20 18 -P gross (MW) 16 14 ACC gross 12 output 10 8 -1000 800 60 50 600 40 ω (rpm) 400 30 N_{modules} (-) 200 20

Phase I 8B/8D/8C Results - Revenue



Phase I 8B/8D/8C Results - Payback Period

$$PBP = \frac{CAPEX_{LTTS} - CAPEX_{ACC}}{R_{LTTS} - R_{ACC}}$$

 $CAPEX_{LTTS} = CAPEX_{WCC} + CAPEX_{Tank} + CAPEX_{ACHEx}$

- ► CAPEX_{WCC} = €0.2 M
- ► $CAPEX_{Tank} = €120/m^3 = €2.5 M$
- CAPEX_{ACHEx} = €29,000/tube bundle = €1.5 M
 - $CAPEX_{LTTS} = €4.2 M$ $CAPEX_{ACC} = €2.4 M$



Phase II Investigation

- Multiple Time Apportionments (TA)
 Multiple sites
- Month by month data

Phase II - Time Apportionment

- For each site and each month, five individual TAs were modelled.
- TAs are denoted $N_{TA} = 1$ to $N_{TA} = 5$.
- For all TAs, the durations of the charge, bypass and discharge periods are defined as follows, where $\Delta T = 0.1(T_{max} T_{min})$:
 - ► Charge duration: time during a daily temperature cycle for which $T_{min} \le T < (T_{min} + N_{TA}\Delta T)$
 - ► Discharge duration: time during a daily temperature cycle for which $(T_{max} N_{TA}\Delta T) < T \leq T_{max}$
 - ► Bypass duration: tie during a daily temperature cycle for which $(T_{min} + N_{TA}\Delta T) \le T \le (T_{max} N_{TA}\Delta T)$



Phase II - Time Apportionment

- For each site and each month, five individual TAs were modelled.
- TAs are denoted $N_{TA} = 1$ to $N_{TA} = 5$.
- For all TAs, the durations of the charge, bypass and discharge periods are defined as follows, where here $\Delta T = 0.1(T_{max} T_{min})$:
 - ► Charge duration: time during a daily temperature cycle for which $T_{min} \le T < (T_{min} + N_{TA}\Delta T)$
 - ► Discharge duration: time during a daily temperature cycle for which $(T_{max} N_{TA}\Delta T) < T \leq T_{max}$
 - ► Bypass duration: tie during a daily temperature cycle for which $(T_{min} + N_{TA}\Delta T) \le T \le (T_{max} N_{TA}\Delta T)$



Hourly output: LTTS vs ACC, Bishop January







Hourly output: LTTS vs ACC, Bishop July



LTTS/ACC monthly power output comparison 22

- Midsummer peak in output gain
- LTTS power output gain relative to ACC increases as TA progresses from TA1 to TA5
- This trend is due to higher summertime temperatures and longer discharge durations in TA5 than TA1



Summary of additional power produced by LTTS per year for each location and TA

- Sites presented in order of decreasing summertime DTR
- Not definitive, but data suggest reducing benefit with reduced DTR
- Little evidence of correlation between peak summer temperature and LTTS performance gain
- Correlation between increased discharge mode operation time and increased LTTS performance gain holds for all but Alamosa



Summary of payback periods

- Increased PBP from TA1 to TA5 due to increased capital cost associated with storage tank
 - ~6hrs TA1 Vs 12-14hrs TA5
- Temperature based definition of TAs prevents full utilisation of storage capacity throughout much of the year
- Preliminary work on improvement of TA strategy showing promise in further reduction of PBP



TAs with fixed charge/discharge durations



Conclusions

- The study has demonstrated the thermodynamic and economic merit of LTTS, and has proven that it is feasible for utility-scale thermal power plants when using chilled water as the storage medium.
- The effectiveness of LTTS has been shown to be related to the diurnal temperature range.
- Feasibility in economic terms appears most favourable for systems with short discharge phase durations (not exceeding 7-8 h). Payback periods of less than 2 years achievable.
- Potential outlined in this study provides sufficient motivation for extending the investigations of LTTS.

Future work

Modelling

- Modelling scenarios with parallel charge and parallel discharge
- Improved time apportionment strategies
- Different heat source temperatures
- More refined ACC modelling variable speed fans
- Tank stratification studies
- Refinement of low pressure constraints for ACC and LTTS
- Refinement of CAPEX data
- Benchmarking against other cooling configurations
- Analysis of electricity buy and sell prices in various markets
- Storage density enhancement
- Demonstration



IP Status

- Patent protection secured in a number of jurisdictions
- Proceeding to grant in others

Questions?